

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,848	06/24/2003	Yoshinori Tanaka	1324.68109 9315		
7590 08/02/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns			PARKER, KENNETH		
Greer, Burns &	Crain, Ltd.			 	
Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
300 South Wacker Drive			2871		
Chicago, IL 6	0606				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/602,848	TANAKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kenneth A. Parker	2871	

Defend the Etting of an Annual Drief						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth A. Parker	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP						
□ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	insideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	,			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will not be entered.	II be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-15 and 17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	dana NOT ulasa tha amplication i	- condition for allows	nee becoure:			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by the claims as finally rejected are not allowable over the	e prior art.	٨	nce because.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper (vo(s).	·			
		Kenneth A Parker Primåry Examiner Art Unit: 2871				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The issue of the layers being formed "directly on" had not previously been considered.